

Sacramento County District Attorney's Office

ANNE MARIE SCHUBERT District Attorney

Stephen J. Grippi Chief Deputy

Michael M. Blazina Assistant District Attorney

June 11, 2020

Non Violent Parole Review Process Board of Parole Hearings Correspondence – NV P.O. Box 4036 Sacramento, California 95812-4036

Re: Porter, Arthur CDCR No. AS1870

Court Docket No. 16FE011483

Inmate Arthur Porter is a habitual offender who should not be granted an early release. The circumstances surrounding his current conviction and his prior criminal record demonstrate that he poses an unreasonable risk of violence to the community.

In 2007, Inmate Porter was arrested for a violation of Penal Code section 211, second degree robbery. He was given a break. Inmate Porter pleaded to a violation of Penal Code section 487(c), grand theft from a person, and given probation. He violated his probation at least three times. In 2008, he pleaded no contest to a violation of Penal Code section 459/460(b), second-degree burglary. Again, he received probation. Rather than learn from these second chances. Inmate Porter ramped up his criminality.

In 2011, Inmate Porter pleaded to a violation of Penal Code section 459/460(a), first degree burglary. This was his first "strike" offense. Despite his record, he was given another chance and placed on probation. Inmate Porter, undoubtedly feeling quite emboldened, committed another first-degree burglary in 2013 (while on probation for the same offense.) In 2013, he was sentenced to four years in state prison for a violation of Penal Code section 459/460(a), first-degree burglary, his second "strike" offense.

This brings us to his commitment offense, which both predictably and sadly involves yet another first-degree burglary. A mere four months after being paroled, Inmate Porter broke into the victim's house and stole money and jewelry. This brazen crime was captured on a surveillance camera. Inmate Porter pleaded to another violation of Penal

Code section 459/460(a) and admitted one of his prior strike offenses. As a result, instead of an indeterminate sentence, he was shown leniency and he received eight years in state prison. Now, apparently, he is asking to be released early. This is a bridge too far. Nothing in his criminal history suggest he will not continue to reoffend. Inmate Porter should not be released as he poses a significant, unreasonable risk of violence to the community. As such, early parole should be denied.

As the District Attorney's Office is only given thirty (30) days to respond and are not provided with any disciplinary history or other information aside from the one page notice of parole review, I am unable to comment on Inmate Porter's prison conduct. However, from the record that is available, it is clear that Inmate Porter should not be released as he poses a significant, unreasonable risk of violence to the community. Parole should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

Bret Wasley

Deputy District Attorney

Sacramento County District Attorney's Office