



Sacramento County District Attorney's Office

ANNE MARIE SCHUBERT
District Attorney

Stephen J. Grippi
Chief Deputy

Michael A. Neves
Assistant District Attorney

December 2, 2015

Non-Violent Second Striker Board of Parole Hearings
Correspondence-NVSS
Post Box 4036
Sacramento, CA 95812-4036

RE: Gilmore, Lamont CDC # F-93961

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] He committed [REDACTED] felony 245(a)(1) in 1992, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] In 1993 he apparently was arrested in Nevada for burglary, possession of burglary tools, and receiving stolen property. In 1994, he was convicted of misdemeanors for possessing a switch blade (PC 653K), and giving false information to an officer (VC 31). In 2001, he was convicted of giving false ID to an officer (PC 148.9). Between 2003 and 2006, he was arrested multiple times for theft and drug related crimes.

In 2007, he was convicted of serious strikes for two separate first degree burglaries and a misdemeanor drug offense. Gilmore earned his way into prison for breaking into innocent people's homes for those 2007 burglaries, as well as exhibiting the kind of recidivist conduct the 'strike' law was meant to punish. In 2011, almost immediately after getting out of prison, Gilmore broke into another innocent person's home, as well as stealing their truck. For that he was sentenced to 8 years in prison.

Lamont Gilmore's conduct [REDACTED] is proof that he will simply not stop committing crimes unless he's in prison. Even with the knowledge that he would be subjected to enhanced punishment due to his 2007 convictions for first degree burglary, he got out and victimized another home-owner. The impact these crimes have on innocent victims cannot be under-stated. An inhabited dwelling, an essential element to the crime, as written and read in the statute is a sterile description of a person's home. It hardly encompasses the sanctity of the 'home', the inviolate place for one's family and possessions. I hope the Board does not gloss over the sense of violation and vulnerability burglary victims uniformly experience after the crime. Clearly Lamont Gilmore could care less: a home for him is simply another opportunity to profit off of the hard work and life of others, without any sense of guilt or remorse.

Based on Lamont Gilmore's record of crime he should serve out his term and thereby ensure that, at least while he's in prison, he will not be able to burglarize the homes of innocent victims. Therefore, the People oppose early release.

Respectfully,

Scott E. Divine
Deputy District Attorney
Sacramento County District Attorney's Office